

Existence of a solution to a nonlinear equation

A.G. Ramm

Mathematics Department, Kansas State University,
Manhattan, KS 66506-2602, USA

ramm@math.ksu.edu

Abstract

Equation $(-\Delta + k^2)u + f(u) = 0$ in D , $u|_{\partial D} = 0$, where $k = \text{const} > 0$ and $D \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is a bounded domain, has a solution if $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function in the region $|u| \geq a$, piecewise-continuous in the region $|u| \leq a$, with finitely many discontinuity points u_j such that $f(u_j \pm 0)$ exist, and $uf(y) \geq 0$ for $|u| \geq a$, where $a \geq 0$ is an arbitrary fixed number.

1 Introduction

Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded domain with Lipschitz boundary S , $k = \text{const} > 0$, $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function such that

$$uf(u) \geq 0, \quad \text{for } |u| \geq a \geq 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where a is an arbitrary fixed number, and f is continuous in the region $|u| \geq a$, and bounded and piecewise-continuous with at most finitely many discontinuity points u_j , such that $f(u_j + 0)$ and $f(u_j - 0)$ exist, in the region $|u| \leq a$.

Consider the problem

$$(-\Delta + k^2)u + f(u) = 0 \quad \text{in } D, \quad (1.2)$$

$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } S. \quad (1.3)$$

There is a large literature on problems of this type. Usually it is assumed that f does not grow too fast or f is monotone (see e.g. [1] and references therein).

The novel point in this note is the absence of monotonicity restrictions on f and of the growth restrictions on f as $|u| \rightarrow \infty$, except for the assumption (1.1).

Math subject classification: 35J65

key words: nonlinear elliptic equations

This assumption allows an arbitrary behavior of f inside the region $|u| \leq a$, where $a \geq 0$ can be arbitrary large, and an arbitrarily rapid growth of f to $+\infty$ as $u \rightarrow +\infty$, or arbitrarily rapid decay of f to $-\infty$ as $u \rightarrow -\infty$.

Our result is:

Theorem 1. *Under the above assumptions problem (1.2)–(1.3) has a solution $u \in H^2(D) \cap \overset{\circ}{H}^1(D) := H_0^2(D)$.*

Here $H^\ell(D)$ is the usual Sobolev space, $\overset{\circ}{H}^1(D)$ is the closure of $C_0^\infty(D)$ in the norm $H^1(D)$. Uniqueness of the solution does not hold without extra assumptions.

The ideas of our proof are: first, we prove that if $\sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} |f(u)| \leq \mu$, then a solution to (1.2)–(1.3) exists by the Schauder's fixed-point theorem. Here μ is a constant. Secondly, we prove an a priori bound $\|u\|_\infty \leq a$. If this bound is proved, then the solution to problem (1.2)–(1.3) with f replaced by

$$F(u) := \begin{cases} f(u), & |u| \leq a \\ f(a), & u \geq a \\ f(-a), & u \leq -a \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

has a solution, and this solution solves the original problem (1.2)–(1.3). The bound $\|u\|_\infty \leq a$ is proved by using some integral inequalities. An alternative proof of this bound is also given. This proof is based on the maximum principle for elliptic equation (1.2).

In Section 2 proofs are given. We use some ideas from [2].

2 Proofs.

If $u \in L^\infty := L^\infty(D)$, then problem (1.2)–(1.3) is equivalent to the integral equation:

$$u = - \int_D G(x, y) f(u(y)) dy := T(u), \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$(-\Delta + k^2)G = -\delta(x - y) \quad \text{in } D, \quad g|_{x \in S} = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

By the maximum principle,

$$0 \leq G(x, y) < g(x, y) := \frac{e^{-k|x-y|}}{4\pi|x-y|}, \quad x, y \in D. \quad (2.3)$$

The map T is a continuous and compact map in the space $C(D) := X$, and

$$\|u\|_{C(D)} := \|u\| \leq \mu \sup_x \int_D \frac{e^{-k|x-y|}}{4\pi|x-y|} dy \leq \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{-k|y|}}{4\pi|y|} dy \leq \frac{\mu}{k^2} \quad (2.4)$$

This is an a priori estimate of any bounded solution to (1.2)–(1.3) for a bounded nonlinearity f such that $\sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} |f(u)| \leq \mu$. Thus, Schauder's fixed-point theorem yields the existence of a solution to (2.1), and consequently to problem (1.2)–(1.3), for bounded f . Indeed, if B is a closed ball of radius $\frac{\mu}{k^2}$, then the map T maps this ball into itself by (2.4), and since T is compact, the Schauder principle is applicable. Thus, the following lemma is proved.

Lemma 1. *If $\sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} |f(u)| \leq \mu$, then problems (2.1) and (1.2)–(1.3) have a solution in $C(D)$, and this solution satisfies estimate (2.4).*

Let us now prove an a priori bound for any solution $u \in C(D)$ of the problem (1.2)–(1.3) without assuming that $\sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} |f(u)| < \infty$.

Let $u_+ := \max(u, 0)$, $u_- = \max(-u, 0)$. Multiply (1.2) by $(u - a)_+$, integrate over D and then by parts to get

$$0 = \int_D [\nabla u \cdot \nabla (u - a)_+ + k^2 u (u - a)_+ + f(u)(u - a)_+] dx, \quad (2.5)$$

where we have integrated by parts and the boundary integral vanishes because $(u - a)_+ = 0$ on S for $a \geq 0$. Each of the terms in (2.5) is nonnegative, the last one due to (1.1). Thus (2.5) implies

$$u \leq a. \quad (2.6)$$

Similarly, using (1.1) again, and multiplying (1.2) by $(-u - a)_+$, one gets

$$-a \leq u. \quad (2.7)$$

We have proved:

Lemma 2. *If (1.1) holds, then any solution $u \in H_0^2(D)$ to (1.2)–(1.3) satisfies the inequality*

$$|u(x)| \leq a. \quad (2.8)$$

Consider now equation (2.1) in $C(D)$ with an arbitrary continuous f satisfying (1.1). Any $u \in C(D)$ which solves (2.1) solves (1.2)–(1.3) and therefore satisfies (2.8) and belongs to $H_0^2(D)$. This u solves problem (1.2)–(1.3) with f replaced by F defined in (1.4), and vice-versa. Since F is a bounded nonlinearity, equation (2.1) and problem (1.2)–(1.3) (with f replaced by F) has a solution by Lemma 1.

Theorem 1 is proved. $\square$

An alternative proof of the estimate (2.8):

Let us sketch an alternative derivation of the inequality (2.8) using the maximum principle. Let us derive (2.6). The derivation of (2.7) is similar.

Assume that (2.6) fails. Then $u > a$ at some point in D . Therefore at a point y at which u attains its maximum value one has $u(y) \geq u(x)$ for all $x \in D$ and $u(y) > a$. The function u attains its maximum value, which is positive, at some point in D , because

u is continuous, vanishes at the boundary of D , and is positive at some point of D by the assumption $u > a$. At the point y , where the function u attains its maximum, one has $-\Delta u \geq 0$ and $k^2 u(y) > 0$. Moreover, $f(u(y)) > 0$ by the assumption (1.1), since $u(y) > a$. Therefore the left-hand side of equation (1.2) is positive, while its left-hand side is zero. Thus we have got a contradiction, and the estimate (2.6) is proved. Similarly one proves estimate (2.7). Thus, (2.8) is proved. $\square$

References

- [1] Berger, M., **Nonlinearity and functional analysis**, Acad. Press, New York, 1977.
- [2] Ramm, A. G. , Stationary regimes in passive nonlinear networks, in “Nonlinear Electromagnetics”, Ed. P.L.E. Uslenghi, Acad. Press, N. Y., 1980, pp. 263-302.